# Untangling the Reaction Dynamics of the Silylidyne Radical (SiH; $X^{2}\Pi$ ) with Acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>; $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ )

Tao Yang, Beni B. Dangi, Aaron M Thomas, Ralf I. Kaiser\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822

\*Corresponding Author:

Professor Dr. Ralf I. Kaiser; Email: ralfk@hawaii.edu; Phone: +1-808-956-5731

#### Abstract

Chemical reaction dynamics of silylidyne (SiH;  $X^{2}\Pi$ ) with acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>;  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ ) were studied exploiting the crossed molecular beam approach, and compared with previous studies on D1silylidyne with acetylene. The reaction is initiated by a barrierless addition of silylidyne to one or both carbons of acetylene leading to 1-sila-1-propene-1,3-diylidene and/or the cyclic 1silacyclopropenyl with the former isomerizing to the latter. 1-Silacyclopropenyl eventually loses atomic hydrogen yielding silacyclopropenylidene (c-SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) in an overall exoergic reaction (experiment: -14.7 ± 8.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>; theory: -13 ± 3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). The enthalpy of formation for silacyclopropenylidene is determined to be 421.4 ± 9.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>© 2019.</sup> This manuscript version is made available under the Elsevier user license https://www.elsevier.com/open-access/userlicense/1.0/

## **1. Introduction**

The elucidation of the energetics and dynamics of elementary reactions of the simplest silicon-bearing radical, silvlidyne (SiH;  $X^2\Pi$ ), with prototype hydrocarbon molecules under single collision conditions and the inherent formation of small organosilicon molecules is of core significance spanning astrochemistry, physical organic chemistry, and fundamental reaction dynamics. Considering astrochemical implications, an understanding of the chemical dynamics of silvlidyne radical reactions with small hydrocarbon molecules is crucial to reveal the underlying molecular processes involved in the formation of organosilicon molecules in the interstellar medium[1-4]. These molecules are thought to play a key role in the formation of silicon carbide dust grains in the outflow of circumstellar envelopes of carbon rich Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars such as IRC+10216 holding temperatures up to a few 1,000 K close to the photosphere of the central star[5-8]. Nevertheless, the basic molecular processes that link the circumstellar silicon and carbon chemistries to dust formation are far from being understood as contemporary astrochemical models show inconsistent growth mechanisms for the principal routes to the build-up of organosilicon molecules. This disagreement is based on insufficient laboratory data such as products, branching ratios, and an elusive thermochemistry of the neutral – neutral reactions of the silvlidyne radical with hydrocarbon molecules[9, 10].

Also, Langmuir's concept of isoelectronicity has fascinated physical organic chemists to explore the fundamental properties of molecular structures in the carbon versus silicon chemistry [11]. This is well reflected in the difference in chemical bonding of the c-SiC<sub>2</sub> molecule, which exhibits a cyclic  $C_{2v}$  symmetric structure, while the tricarbon (C<sub>3</sub>) molecule is (quasi)linear at its ground state molecular geometry. Similarly, the isovalent cyano (CN) and silicon nitride (SiN) radicals revealed significant discrepancies in reactivity with unsaturated hydrocarbons such as acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) and ethylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), by bonding the carbon in the cyano (CN) and nitrogen in the silicon nitride (SiN) to the unsaturated hydrocarbons[12-17]. Considering the title reaction, the diverse chemical bonding of silicon analogous species is also evident, when comparing the silylidyne-acetylene (SiH-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) and methylidyne-acetylene (CH-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) potential energy surfaces (PESs)[18, 19] as well as the cyclopropenylidene (c-CC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) and silacyclopropenylidene (c-SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) isomers in particular[20, 21]. Here, cyclopropenylidene presents as a transition state,

while silacyclopropenylidene has been found to be a global minimum. Therefore, a replacement of a carbon by an isovalent silicon atom may even lead to novel molecules, whose carbon-analog counterparts may not exist. So far, these aspects of the organosilicon chemistry and the formation of chemical bonds involving silicon are not well understood. Hence, the elucidation of reaction mechanisms involving organosilicon molecules and a comparison with the carbon-analog systems under single collision conditions can help to shed light not only on the distinct reactivity of silylidyne versus methylidyne, but also on the molecular structures and chemical bonding of hitherto elusive silicon-bearing molecules.

Previously, Parker et al. investigated the reaction of laser-ablation generated D1silylidyne radicals (SiD) with acetylene exploiting the crossed beam approach[19]. However, various aspects of this reaction have remained unanswered so far. Here, due to the enhanced signal-to-noise ratio in the present experimental setup and the incorporation of a photolytic silylidyne radical source, we can investigate if only the atomic hydrogen or also the molecular hydrogen elimination channel is open. Furthermore, the low collision energy of  $25.3 \pm 0.5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> compared to  $41.2 \pm 4.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> in the previous study enables us to record a full laboratory angular distribution, which in turn provides considerable constraints on the center-of-mass (CM) angular and translational energy distributions. These data along with the enhanced signal-tonoise ratio will complete the investigation of the SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> potential energy surface and will also result in significantly reduced error bars on the overall reaction energies thus providing accurate enthalpies of formation of the organosilicon reaction product(s).

## 2. Experimental

The crossed molecular beam reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH;  $X^2\Pi$ ) with acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>;  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ ) was carried out under single collision conditions exploiting a crossed molecular beams machine[22-25]. Briefly, disilane (Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) seeded in helium at a fraction of 0.5 % was fed into a piezoelectric valve (Physik Instrumente) operating at 120 Hz, -300 V peak voltage, and 2 atm backing pressure; the supersonic beam was intercepted by the 193 nm ArF output of an excimer laser (Coherent, Inc.) which was focused to a 1 mm × 4 mm spot with a pulse energy of 30 mJ at a repetition rate of 60 Hz. The photodissociation products were probed via an on axis quadrupole mass spectrometer at the time-of-flight (TOF) mode and by laser-induced

fluorescence (LIF) technique[26-28], and the rotational temperature of the silvlidyne radical (SiH;  $X^{2}\Pi$ ) was determined to be 40 ± 10 K majorly. The pulsed beam then passed through a stainless steel skimmer, a four-slot chopper wheel rotating at a speed of 120 Hz, which selected a portion of the beam with a well-defined peak velocity ( $v_p$ ) and speed ratio (S) of 1714 ± 13 m s<sup>-1</sup> and  $13.1 \pm 1.9$  for silvlidyne and  $1730 \pm 15$  m s<sup>-1</sup> and  $15.4 \pm 1.7$  for atomic silicon. A pulsed beam of neat acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>;  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ ) was generated by a second piezoelectric value in the secondary source chamber operating at 120 Hz, -300 V peak voltage and 550 torr backing pressure and crossed the primary beam with a peak velocity of 900  $\pm$  10 m s<sup>-1</sup> and speed ratio of 9.0  $\pm$  0.2, leading to the CM angles and collision energies of  $25.1 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$  and  $25.3 \pm 0.5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (SiH- $C_2H_2$ ), and of 25.6 ± 0.5° and 25.3 ± 0.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Si- $C_2H_2$ ), respectively. The triggers of the pulsed valves and laser were initiated by the time zero of the infrared diode located on top of the chopper wheel, and the optimized trigger values were selected for the best reactive scattering signal. We monitored the reactive products using a Daly type detector consisting of an electron impact ionizer operating at 34 eV (1.2 mA emission current), a quadrupole mass spectrometer, a stainless steel target floated at -22.5 kV, an aluminum-coated organic scintillator and a photomultiplier tube. The product velocity distributions were recorded utilizing the angularresolved TOF approach, i.e. collecting the arrival time of the ionized products for distinct massto-charge ratios (m/z) at different scattering angles in the laboratory reference frame. The TOF spectra and the laboratory angular distribution were further analysed using a forwardconvolution fitting routine [29, 30]. This routine starts with a parameterized set of translational energy flux distribution  $(P(E_T))$  and angular flux distribution  $(T(\theta))$  in the center-of mass (CM) frame; these functions are then iteratively modified until the best fits of the TOF spectra and angular distribution are reached. The optimized  $P(E_T)$  and  $T(\theta)$  can be used to explore the reaction dynamics and yield a product flux contour map  $I(\theta, u) = P(u) \times T(\theta)$  that depicts the reactive scattering product intensity (I) as a function of the product velocity (u) and CM angle ( $\theta$ ) [31].

## 3. Results & Discussion

We probed the reactive scattering signal at mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) of 55 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), 54 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>), 53 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>) and 52 (SiC<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>). No signal was detected at m/z = 55 indicating that no SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> adduct survives the flight time from the interaction region to the ionizer. A comparison of

the TOF spectra taken for the same numbers of scans at m/z = 54, 53 and 52 is illustrated in Figure 1. After scaling, the profiles of the TOF spectra at m/z = 54, 53 and 52 are overlapped suggesting that ion counts at m/z = 53 and 52 originate from dissociative ionization of the SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (54 amu) in the electron impact ionizer of the detector. Accounting for the natural isotope abundances of silicon of  ${}^{30}$ Si(3.10 %),  ${}^{29}$ Si (4.67 %) and  ${}^{28}$ Si(92.23 %), no detection of signal at m/z of 55 and considering that after scaling, the TOF spectra recorded from m/z = 54 to 52 depict identical pattern, we can conclude that signal at m/z = 54 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>) originates from the *atomic* hydrogen decomposition channel forming a molecule of the formula SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> in the reaction of silvlidyne with acetylene. Further, these data suggest the absence of a molecular hydrogen loss in the SiH-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> system and the lack of any reactive scattering signal from atomic and/or molecular hydrogen loss in the Si-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> system. The lack of the molecular hydrogen loss in the reaction of silvlidyne with acetylene gains also support from a previous examination of this system [19]. Likewise, the absence of any reactive scattering signal from ground state silicon atoms with acetylene can be attributed to the highly endoergic (84 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) formation of the silapropenylidyne molecule (HCCSi) via atomic hydrogen loss and/or inability of the SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> intermediate to undergo intersystem crossing followed by molecular hydrogen loss[32]. Considering the best signal-to-noise, we collected a full angular scan of the TOF spectra at m/z = 53 from 13.75° to 33.75°, and the TOF spectra along with the laboratory angular distribution are presented in Figure 2.

The analysis of the laboratory data depicted convincing evidence that in the reaction of silylidyne radical (SiH; X<sup>2</sup>Π) with acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>; X<sup>1</sup>Σ<sub>g</sub><sup>+</sup>), a molecule with the formula SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> is formed via atomic hydrogen loss. In order to elucidate the product isomer(s) formed and the underlying reaction mechanisms, now we are converting the data from the laboratory to the CM reference system. The laboratory data could be fit with a single channel in a product mass combination of 54 amu (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) and 1 amu (H). The best fitted CM translational flux distribution  $P(E_T)$  and angular distribution  $T(\theta)$  are shown in Figure 3. The CM translational energy distribution,  $P(E_T)$ , depicts a maximum energy cutoff at 40.0 ± 8.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which represents the sum of the collision energy plus the absolute value of the reaction exoergicity for products born without internal excitation. Considering the collision energy of 25.3 ± 0.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, we can therefore derive the reaction to be exoergic by -14.7 ± 8.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. We also noticed that  $P(E_T)$  depicts a

distribution maximum around 9.0  $\pm$  3.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> indicating a loose exit transition state upon decomposition of the SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> complex to the final products;[33] this is consistent with an earlier study of the D1- silylidyne – acetylene reaction [19]. Considering the CM angular distribution,  $T(\theta)$ , intensity is found to span over the complete angular range from 0° to 180°; this finding is indicative of indirect scattering dynamics involving the unimolecular decomposition of SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> complex(es)[33]. We also observe that the distribution exhibits a slight forward scattering with an intensity ratio of 1 : 0.9 at the poles,  $I(0^\circ)/I((180^\circ))$ ; this results indicates that the decomposing complex possesses a lifetime comparable to its rotational period[34]. In addition,  $T(\theta)$  depicts a pronounced distribution maximum near 90° proposing that the hydrogen loss is connected with geometric constraints[31]. In other words, the hydrogen emission takes place at an angle at about 90° with respect to its rotational plane of the decomposing complex and almost parallel to its total angular momentum (sideways scattering).

We are now comparing our experimental results with previous studies of the SiD( $X^2\Pi$ )- $C_2H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$ [19], based on which we reproduce the PES of SiH (X<sup>2</sup>Π)- $C_2H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$  in Figure 4. First, the experimentally determined reaction excergicity  $-14.7 \pm 8.5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> correlates very well with the theoretically predicted reaction energy of  $-13 \pm 3$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> associated with the formation of the silacyclopropenylidene isomer  $(c-SiC_2H_2)$  plus atomic hydrogen (H). Here, reaction of the silvlidyne radical with acetylene proceeds via indirect scattering dynamics and is - as contemplated by electronic structure calculations - initiated by the barrierless addition of silvlidyne radical to one or two carbon atoms of the acetylene molecule forming the collision complexes i1 (1-sila-1-propene-1,3-divlidene) and i2 (1-silacyclopropenyl), respectively. The intermediate i1 is only metastable and undergoes rapid ring closure to i2 via the state i1-i2 that is 2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than i1. Eventually, the cyclic intermediate i2 undergoes unimolecular decomposition de-facto barrierlessly through atomic hydrogen loss from the silicon atom yielding the silacyclopropenylidene molecule (c-SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>; p1). The non-existence of the exit transition state leading to **p1** was also predicted by the near-zero peaking of the CM translational energy distribution. On the contrary, the PES and the inherent barriers involved in the molecular hydrogen loss pathways also rationalize the failed detection of the p2 and p3, whose exoergicities were found to be accessible under current experiment. Although il can decompose via molecular hydrogen elimination, the exit barriers are located 15 kJ above the energies of the

separated products **p3** and H<sub>2</sub>, which cannot compete with the interconversion of **i1** into **i2** and facial decomposition to **p1** and H. Similar arguments eliminate the possibility of intermediates **i2** and **i3** leading to molecular hydrogen loss products, considering that the exit barriers are 100 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and 13 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> above the energies of **p2** plus H<sub>2</sub> and **p3** plus H<sub>2</sub>. Consequently, the experiments and computations agree well and propose the overall barrierless formation of the silacyclopropenylidene (c-SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) molecule via indirect scattering dynamics. Note that in the related reaction of the D1-silylidyne radical with acetylene, isotopic scrambling could not be detected; this process would require a hydrogen shift from the carbon to the silicon and hence an isomerization of **i2** to **i3** (plus back reaction via deuterium migration from the silicon to the carbon atom). This pathway involves a barrier of 157 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is not competitive compared to the unimolecular decomposition of **i2** to **p1** + H.

Finally, it is interesting to compare the SiH-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> system with the methylidyne-acetylene system (CH-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), and unveil the reactivity and reaction mechanism of these isovalent systems[35]. The CH radical can also add barrierlessly to one or both carbons atoms in acetylene to form HCCHCH/c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> collision complexes, both of which are connected by a relatively shallow barrier of about 29 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> above HCCHCH. The resulting products are insensitive to the initial branching ratios of the HCCHCH and c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> collision complexes with atomic hydrogen loss contributes about 98 % of the total amounts of the products. Strikingly different from the analogues silicon system, the linear product propargylene (HCCCH) constitutes up to  $85 \sim 87$  % of the overall products, while the cyclic molecule cyclopropenylidene (c-CC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) only accounts for 13~10 %. The insensitivity of the branching ratios with respect to the initial concentrations of the collision complexes is mainly driven by the preferential isomerization to the acyclic HCCHCH intermediate and to the propargyl radical (H<sub>2</sub>CCCH), which in turn can lead to the formation of propargylene (HCCCH). On the contrary, the silapropargyl radical (H<sub>2</sub>SiCCH) can only be formed via ring opening of the  $c-SiC_2H_3$  (intermediate i2) by overcoming a barrier higher than the energy of the separated reactants; these unfavorable energetics rather prefer the decomposition of i2 to silacyclopropenylidene ( $c-SiC_2H_2$ ) via an atomic hydrogen loss.

## 4. Conclusion

Crossed molecular beam experiments were exploited to probe the chemical dynamics in the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH;  $X^2\Pi$ ) with acetylene ( $C_2H_2$ ;  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ ). The reaction was found to follow indirect dynamics and is initiated by the barrierless addition of silylidyne to one or both carbon atoms of acetylene leading to an open chain 1-sila-1-propene-1,3-diylidene intermediate and/or to a cyclic collision complex 1-silacyclopropenyl with the former intermediate isomerizing to the cyclic structure. 1-Silacyclopropenyl then ejects atomic hydrogen from the silicon atom via a loose exit transition state yielding the silacyclopropenylidene molecule (c-SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) in an overall weakly exoergic reaction (-14.7 ± 8.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), which agrees nicely with the theoretical exoergicity of -13 ± 3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental enthalpy of formation for the silacyclopropenylidene molecule is then determined to be 421.4 ± 9.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. This study addresses the possible formation route of the hitherto astronomically unobserved silacyclopropenylidene molecule in circumstellar envelopes of carbon-rich AGB stars, thus providing important implications to astrochemical models on how organosilicon molecules can form and evolve in those interstellar environments.

## Acknowledgements

T. Y., B. B. D., A. M. T., and R. I. K. thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) for support under award CHE-1360658.

## **References:**

[1] S. Kwok, Organic Matter In the Universe, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

[2] T. Millar, E. Herbst, R. Bettens, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 316 (2000) 195-203.

[3] L.M. Ziurys, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 103 (2006) 12274-12279.

[4] M. Agúndez, J. Cernicharo, J. Pardo, J.F. Expósito, M. Guélin, E. Tenenbaum, L. Ziurys, A. Apponi, Astrophys. Space Sci., 313 (2008) 229-233.

[5] A. Van Orden, R. Provencal, T. Giesen, R. Saykally, Chem. Phys. Lett., 237 (1995) 77-80.

[6] D. Kokkin, S. Brünken, K. Young, N. Patel, C. Gottlieb, P. Thaddeus, M. McCarthy, Astrophys. J. Suppl. S., 196 (2011) 17.

[7] J.F. Stanton, J. Dudek, P. Theulé, H. Gupta, M. McCarthy, P. Thaddeus, J. Chem. Phys., 122 (2005) 124314-124314.

[8] M. McCarthy, C. Gottlieb, P. Thaddeus, Mol. Phys., 101 (2003) 697-704.

[9] R. Bettens, H.-H. Lee, E. Herbst, Astrophys. J., 443 (1995) 664-674.

[10] T. Millar, E. Herbst, Astron. Astrophys., 288 (1994) 561-571.

[11] I. Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 41 (1919) 868-934.

[12] L. Huang, O. Asvany, A. Chang, N. Balucani, S. Lin, Y. Lee, R. Kaiser, Y. Osamura, J. Chem. Phys., 113 (2000) 8656-8666.

[13] N. Balucani, O. Asvany, A. Chang, S. Lin, Y. Lee, R. Kaiser, Y. Osamura, J. Chem. Phys., 113 (2000) 8643-8655.

[14] L. Huang, Y. Lee, R. Kaiser, J. Chem. Phys., 110 (1999) 7119-7122.

[15] D. Parker, A. Mebel, R. Kaiser, Chem. Soc. Rev., 43 (2014) 2701-2713.

[16] D.S. Parker, A.V. Wilson, R.I. Kaiser, T. Labrador, A.M. Mebel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 134 (2012) 13896-13901.

[17] D. Parker, A. Wilson, R. Kaiser, T. Labrador, A. Mebel, J. Org. Chem., 77 (2012) 8574-8580.

[18] P. Maksyutenko, F. Zhang, X. Gu, R.I. Kaiser, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 13 (2011) 240-252.

[19] D.S. Parker, A.V. Wilson, R.I. Kaiser, N.J. Mayhall, M. Head-Gordon, A.G. Tielens, Astrophys. J., 770 (2013) 33.

[20] V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, B. Donnadieu, W.W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, Science, 312 (2006) 722-724.

[21] Q. Wu, Q. Hao, J.J. Wilke, A.C. Simmonett, Y. Yamaguchi, Q. Li, D.-C. Fang, H.F. Schaefer III, Molecular Physics, 110 (2012) 783-800.

[22] X. Gu, R.I. Kaiser, Accounts Chem Res, 42 (2008) 290-302.

[23] Y. Guo, X.B. Gu, E. Kawamura, R.I. Kaiser, Rev Sci Instrum, 77 (2006).

[24] X.B. Gu, Y. Guo, F.T. Zhang, A.M. Mebel, R.I. Kaiser, Faraday Discuss, 133 (2006) 245-275.

[25] R.I. Kaiser, P. Maksyutenko, C. Ennis, F.T. Zhang, X.B. Gu, S.P. Krishtal, A.M. Mebel, O. Kostko, M. Ahmed, Faraday Discuss, 147 (2010) 429-478.

[26] T. Yang, B.B. Dangi, P. Maksyutenko, R.I. Kaiser, L.W. Bertels, M. Head-Gordon, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119 (2015) 12562-12578.

[27] T. Yang, B.B. Dangi, R.I. Kaiser, L.W. Bertels, M. Head-Gordon, J. Phys. Chem. A, (2016).

[28] B.B. Dangi, T. Yang, P. Maksyutenko, R.I. Kaiser, L. Bertels, M. Head-Gordon, manuscript in preparation.

[29] M.F. Vernon, Molecular Beam Scattering, in: Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, 1983.[30] P.S. Weiss, The Reaction Dynamics of Electronically Excited Alkali Atoms with Simple Molecules, in: Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, 1986.

[31] R. Kaiser, D. Parker, F. Zhang, A. Landera, V. Kislov, A. Mebel, J. Phys. Chem. A, 116 (2012) 4248-4258.

[32] R. Kaiser, X. Gu, J. Chem. Phys., 131 (2009) 104311.

- [33] R.D. Levine, Molecular Reaction Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [34] D.R. Herschbach, Discuss. Faraday Soc., 33 (1962) 149-161.
- [35] T.L. Nguyen, A.M. Mebel, S.H. Lin, R.I. Kaiser, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105 (2001) 11549-11559.



Figure 1. Comparison of the time-of-flight (TOF) spectra at mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) of 54 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, black), 53 (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, red) and 52 (SiC<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, blue) at the same angle.



Figure 2. Laboratory angular distribution at m/z = 53 extracted in the reaction of silvlidyne with acetylene (top) along with the corresponding TOF spectra (bottom). The circles represent the data points, while the solid lines represent the best fits obtained from the forward-convolution routine.



Figure 3. Center-of-mass (CM) translation translational energy flux distribution ( $P(E_T)$ , top) and angular flux distribution ( $T(\theta)$ , bottom) fitted with the product mass combination of 54 amu (SiC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) plus 1 amu (hydrogen). The red solid lines represent the best fits, while the hatched areas indicate the error limits.



Figure 4. Potential energy surface for the reaction of silvlidyne (SiH;  $X^2\Pi$ ) with acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>;  $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ ) compiled from Ref. [19]. The numbers are the relative energies with respect to the separated reactants, and are given in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.



TOC. Flux contour map of the crossed molecular beam reaction of the silvlidyne radical (left) with acetylene (right) leading to the formation of the silacyclopropenylidene molecule ( $c-SiC_2H_2$ ) along with atomic hydrogen.